CHAPTER V
CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

This chapter contains conclusions and suggestions. The conclusion contains the result from the previous chapters. The suggestions in this study are as guidelines and guides for other students, especially for students majoring in English Department who are interested in conducting Linguistic research in the field of Pragmatics more specifically the field of Directive Speech Acts, and for other researchers to conduct future research on related topics.

5.1. Conclusion

In this chapter, I would like to draw conclusions after analyzing the types of Directive Speech Acts of the main character in the *Jurassic World Dominion* movie. Based on the theory of Searle (1976), it can be concluded that there are 80 data identified as sentences that fall into the five types by Searle. However, after checking there were only 30 pieces of data that could be analyzed as data. In essence, the 30 data analyzed represent the 80 data found. I would like to draw some conclusions as follows:

I found there are five types of directive speech acts in this movie, namely: Command, Request, Permission, Prohibition, and Question. Based on the five types, the total is 80 data, Command 17 utterances (21,2%), Request 11 utterances (13,7%), Permission 3 utterances 3,7%), Prohibition 4 utterances (5%), and Question 46 utterances (57,5%).

As the final result, the Question type is most often used by the main character in this movie. Because almost every conversation contains the meaning of question utterances. Based on observations from this movie, I saw a lot of words that contain the meaning of a Question. The least common type found is

Permission, because most of the dialogue in this movie is about adventure and the relationships between the players are closely intertwined without any age restrictions.

5.2. Suggestion

Suggestions from this study are proposed for students, especially in the field of English Department, and for other researchers who want to examine the same topic using different perspectives and data sources. I hope this study on Directive Speech Acts it is be helpful and provide information to readers. Especially for people who want to do research on similar topics related to Pragmatics. To develop further about the ability to interpret words to avoid misunderstandings in communication. In addition, I hope that a more in-depth study will be carried out in the field of Pragmatics and can contribute to the fields of linguistics and the Indonesian language itself for a better life.

Another suggestion I can give is to pay a lot of attention to the concept of Pragmatics, especially Directive Speech Acts because it requires a deep understanding so that there is no misunderstanding in analyzing it. This study investigates the meaning of spoken words and the purpose of the speaker through speech. The weakness of this study is the lack of data used to analyze the research question because I only took the data from the movie. By understanding Pragmatics, the researchers can appreciate the appearance of different Directive Speech Acts in certain social conditions. These findings need to be enriched and developed to be more interesting, because I only discuss general matters, not covering all aspects of directive speech acts and forms of Directive Speech Acts in terms of Pragmatic studies.